

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fl	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,094	0/521,094 07/13/2005		Frank Mierke	265-174 4561	
23117	7590	07/05/2006	EXAMINER		INER
NIXON &			WIMER, MICHAEL C		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2821	

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/521,094	MIERKE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael C. Wimer	2828				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
	 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		·					
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/2005. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly
 - claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are largely indefinite, particularly Claim 1 since it recites alternative language that appears to claim more than one structure in different arrangements. Specifically, In Claim 1, the alternative recitation of a "dual or multiband antenna" is not clear because there may be additional structure associated with a multiband arrangement, than a dual band structure. It is apparent applicant intends for the structure to be two band because in line 6 of Claim 1, there is a clear recitation of two frequency bands. In lines 7-8, what is the difference between "aligned parallel, or at least approximately parallel, to one another"? The two arrangements cannot exist at once and define a properly operating device. In lines 3-4 what is the difference between "a metallic base surface or base plate"? The two structures cannot coexist. Line 10 recites a base surface and line 11 recites the alternative structures. In line 12, the antecedent basis for "the flat antenna element" is uncertain because two are recited. Similarly, the antecedent basis for the flat antenna element in lines 12-15 cannot be determined particularly since two frequency ranges are recited. In lines 15

Art Unit: 2828

and 19, it is unclear what is meant by "than this". In lines 20-21, the language, "is connected or can be connected" is indefinite. In lines 23-24, it is uncertain what the difference is between "an integral stamped and bent metal part". Lines 25-27 seem redundant. Similarly, lines 28-35 are not understood relative to the alternative language, the language "and/or" in line 29, "has or have adjacent" in line 30, "higher than this coming to rest" in line 34, and "in a plan view..." in line 35. Lines 36-39 are not at all understood because of the alternative language, which actually describes, along with the language in lines 9-11 where it implies a stacked relationship, a total of three arrangements of radiating structures that cannot appear in a single claim. Lines 40-41 are not understood because they relate to "a flat antenna arranged at the top", which neither interrelates nor has a proper antecedent basis in order to form a properly operating antenna device. The language in lines 41-43, "in the form of...in this way" is not understood because there lacks a clear interrelationship of structure.

Claim 2 recites adjacent flat antenna elements, which lacks a clear antecedent in order to define a complete and operable device.

Claim 3 recites "the flat antenna element which is arranged at the bottom", and in line 4, the antenna element...", where both phrases lack a clear antecedent. In Claim 4, the flat element arranged at the top lacks a clear antecedent and relationship of structure. Also, the language, "to be precise..." is not understood. Also, the "bending line" is undefined relative to the recited structure that makes

Application/Control Number: 10/521,094

Art Unit: 2828

up the antenna. In the last line, "the...element" lacks a clear antecedent because there are two elements recited.

Page 4

Claim 5 is not at all understood regarding the "end edges" and "longitudinal edges" of the "antenna vane", all undefined relative to the other antenna structure recited in the claims.

In Claim 6, the alternative language "converge...or diverge" does not make it clear how the structure is defined. The "end edges" are undefined. Similar problems occur in Claims 7-9.

In Claim 7, the language, "those side edges...vanes", "run from their face" are undefined.

In Claim 8, the language, "those stamped edges...vanes" and "the lower transmission ranges" are undefined.

In Claim 12, last line, the language, "which is lower than this" is not understood.

In Claim 14, lines 3-4 are not understood relative to the language,

"provided...downward" because it does not clearly recite a definitive relationship of structure. Also, the phrase "preferably aligned..." is not a definite recitation of structure.

In Claim 15, it is unclear what is meant by cascaded, since such a term does not place the relative elements in a particular configuration. Also, the language "similar in shape" does not positively recite any meaningful antenna structure which may be defined in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2828

- 3. Claims 1-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. These claims appear to recite allowable subject matter but cannot be allowed until the above rejection is obviated.
- 4. The patents to Bettin et al. (6856285), Poilasne et al. (6456243) and Jones et al. (4162499) are cited as of interest showing multi-band antennas.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun O. Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,094

Art Unit: 2828

Page 6

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

MCW 5/22/2006